Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/736,119	BRANDEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew T. Piziali	1794		

	Andrew T. Piziali	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period or under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked: Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1. tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any report must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	idini die dine pened set lordi in or	51 TC 4 1.57 (a).				
☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		inpliant Amendment (F10L-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before or on the date of fling a bla	tion of Annual will no	the entered			
 In eaffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is neces was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant for showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) 						
					10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
Note that the consideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	13. Other:					
		ndrew T Piziali/	Unit 1771			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Most arguments are addressed in the "Response to Arguments' section of the Final rejection. The applicant asserts that Robert does not teach the claimed titer with sufficient specificity. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kolzer specifically mentions a warp titer of 272 and a weft titer of 68 or 136. These titers fall within the claimed range. MPEP 2131.03 states that a claim is anticipated when specific examples fall within the claimed range.

The applicant asserts that it is unexpected that Jacquard woven glass fabrics can by produced with the claimed title because USPN 6,287.151 to Moll states that processing of glass fibers on Jacquard machines has never been successful previously. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Firstly, the applicant does not claim a Jacquard woven fabric. The current claims are simply drawn to a woven, patterned fabric. Secondly, Moll's statement was not made in a declaration or affidavit and Moll provides no evidence to support said assertion. Thirdly, the Moll patent was filed on 8/19/1999 while the current application was filed on 12/15/2003. Therefore, the applicant not only fails to provided evidence that Moll's statement was true, the applicant fails to provide evidence that Moll's statement was true over 4 years later.

The applicant asserts that a woven fiber design is not a pattern because a woven fiber design "can be woven with a pattern." Although the applicant may be correct that a woven pattern can be woven without a pattern, the woven fabrics illustrated and described by the applicant may be correct that a woven pattern can be woven without a pattern, the woven fabrics illustrated and described by the applied prior at clearly possess a pattern. Therefore, applicant's arounder in sort commensurate in scope with the current claims.